A Note on Primality Testing Using Lucas Sequences

By Michael A. Morrison

Dedicated to D. H. Lehmer on his 70th birthday

Abstract. For an odd integer N > 1, thought to be prime, a test is given which uses Lucas sequences and which can establish that any prime divisors of N are $\equiv \pm 1$ modulo the factored portion of N+1.

For an odd integer N > 1, the complete factorization of N + 1 or N - 1provides sufficient information to establish whether or not N is prime (see [1], [2]). Unfortunately, when N is large, it is generally very difficult and time-consuming to complete such a factorization. In such a case, the partial factorization can be extremely useful, since it can be used to restrict any possible divisors of N to a small number of arithmetic sequences with (hopefully) large differences.

The theory of Lucas sequences becomes useful when considering N + 1. A theorem of D. H. Lehmer [1] asserts that, if p is a prime such that $p^{\alpha} || N + 1$, and if there exists a Lucas sequence with certain properties, then any divisor of N is of the form $ap^{\alpha} \pm 1$. Thus, if s distinct primes were known to divide N + 1, there would be 2^s different sequences which might contain a factor of N. The following theorem shows that it is possible to reduce these 2^s sequences to only two: namely, $\{aP+1\}$ and $\{aP-1\}$, where P is the factored portion of N+1.

THEOREM. Let D be an integer such that the Jacobi symbol (D/N) = -1, and let $N + 1 = R\prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i^{\alpha_i}$ where, for all i, p_i is prime and $(R, p_i) = 1$. If for each i there exists a Lucas sequence $\{U_k^{(i)}\}$ with discriminant D such that

(1)
$$N | U_{N+1}^{(i)} |$$

and

(2)
$$(U_{(N+1)/p_i}^{(i)}, N) = 1,$$

then every prime divisor n of N satisfies $n \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{\prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i^{\alpha_i}}$.

PROOF. Let *n* be a prime divisor of *N* and let $\omega_i(n)$ denote its rank of apparition in $\{U_k^{(i)}\}$. Then $n | U_k^{(i)}$ if and only if $\omega_i(n) | k$, and thus (1) implies that for each *i*, $\omega_i(n)$ exists and divides N + 1. But (2) implies that $\omega_i(n) \not\uparrow (N + 1)/p_i$, and thus $p_i^{\alpha_i} | \omega_i(n)$ for each *i*.

Key words and phrases. Primality testing, Lucas sequences.

Received July 12, 1974.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 10A25; Secondary 10A35.

However, since *n* is prime, $n | U_{n-(D/n)}^{(i)}$. Hence, $\omega_i(n) | n - (D/n)$ for each *i*, which implies that $p_i^{\alpha_i} | n - (D/n)$ for each *i*. Therefore, $n \equiv (D/n) = \pm 1 \pmod{\prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i^{\alpha_i}}$. $\pm 1 \pmod{\prod_{i=1}^{s} p_i^{\alpha_i}}$.

Department of Mathematics University of California Los Angeles, California 90024

1. D. H. LEHMER, "An extended theory of Lucas functions," Ann. of Math., v. 31, 1930, pp. 442-443.

2. E. LUCAS, "Théorie des fonctions numériques simplement périodiques," Amer. J. Math., v. 1, 1878, p. 302.